ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL (3 ITEMS)

1. RECOVERY BEDS -- DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS FUNDING -- DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS

(Single Source)

#17-2022 Contract

	Seventh Direction Inc.
Recovery Beds	\$14.28 per day

On the recommendation of Kristen McGovern, on behalf of Division of Corrections, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to execute a contract with Seventh Direction Inc. at the rate listed above for one (1) year with four (4) one (1) year options to renew. Linda Kizzire seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Seventh Direction Inc. provides recovery beds to both male and female clients in accordance with Senate Bill 123 (SB123). The purpose of SB123 is to provide community supervision and drug treatment to offenders with drug abuse problems in order to reserve correctional facility capacity for more serious, violent offenders. SB123 is the alternative sentencing policy for non-violent drug possession offenders.

Seventh Direction Inc. is the only SB123 provider in Sedgwick County. Drug Court requires clients to live in Sedgwick County.

Note: In 2016, Division of Corrections spent approximately \$32,747.00.

Questions and Answers:

Tom Golden asked: "It's \$14.28 per day and in 2016 we spent \$32,747.00. So this contract would be for 365 days a year on an as needed basis --so we're not paying every single day for this or is it by the patient that needs the service at the time?"

Kristen McGovern answered: "It is per the patient use."

Tom Golden asked: "So we're only charged the amount when the patient is there?"

Kristen McGovern answered: "Yes, correct."

Talaya Schwartz asked: "The SB123 funds, are those just for patients without funding sources, without insurance?"

Tom Struble (Department of Corrections) answered: "The SB123 is separate from Drug Court in this. We've chosen historically to utilize SB123 providers for our recovery beds because SB123 providers use certain curriculum that's approved by the state. So, these monies that actually pay for the recovery beds come from General Fund dollars if there is money set aside within the Drug Court budget for us to provide housing for those that have no clean or sober living arrangements, and we do it in thirty (30) day increments. So we would sign somebody up if they express the desire to go to a recovery bed, given the situation we agreed to pay for the first thirty (30) days of that, because they have no funds to do that, with the idea that they get themselves back on their feet and that they either start to pay for that recovery bed themselves or that they find an alternative living arrangement."

Talaya Schwartz asked: "So it's regardless of their funding, its completely separate?"

Tom Struble answered: "Yes."

Talaya Schwartz asked: "Do they get credit for time served while they're in those recovery beds?"

Tom Struble answered: "They do not, because we don't order them into the beds --they go on their own free will. It is voluntary, and they can leave at any time."

Tom Golden asked: "Why was this only a single source, Joe? Was there nobody else that was interested in doing this?"

Joe Thomas answered: "It's noted that this was the only SB123 provider in Sedgwick County and is the only one that serves both male and female."

Richard Powell asked: "Do we know what the average length of stay is for those that are not private pay on an ongoing basis?"

Tom Struble answered: "I do not have that information with me, I might be able to research that and get you an answer to that."

Richard Powell asked: "Do we know how many beds Seventh Direction Inc. has available on a regular ongoing basis?"

Tom Struble answered: "I can't tell you exactly how many beds because they don't just serve Drug Court, but I can tell you that they have three (3) houses available to us."

Tom Golden asked: "Can repeat offenders take advantage of this? So if they stay for thirty (30) days and then they leave, can they come back at some point in time later?"

Tom Struble answered: "They would give their bed up. They can come back if the house is willing to take them back, but depending on the reason why they left, that's up to the program."

Tom Golden asked: "The 2016 amount, do we believe we are going to exceed that or is that a good number to anticipate the cost for this year?"

Tom Struble answered: "I think that's a pretty solid number. There may be a slight increase, but usage in itself has been fairly consistent over time."

2. 1/2 TON FOUR WHEEL DRIVE EXTENDED CAB TRUCKS -- FLEET MANAGEMENT FUNDING -- VEHICLE ACQUISITION

(Request sent to 124 vendors)

RFB #17-0057 S/C #8000106691 #8000106692

	Otro	Rusty Eck Ford		Pemberton Chevrolet		
	Qty.	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	
1/2 Ton Four Wheel Drive Extended Cab Trucks W/ Road Sensor	3	\$33,232.00	\$99,696.00	\$33,402.00	\$100,206.00	
Make & Model		2017 Ford Exte	nded Cab 4WD	2017 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4WD Double Cab		
1/2 Ton Four Wheel Drive Extended Cab Trucks W/O Road Sensor	4	\$30,677.00	\$122,708.00	\$31,952.00	\$127,808.00	
Make & Model		2017 Ford Extended Cab 4WD		2017 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4WD Double Cab		
Parts Manual (Paper or CD-ROM)	1	Online		Online		
Service Manual (Paper or CD-ROM)	1	\$180.00	\$180.00	Online		
Operator Manuals (Paper or CD-ROM)	7	Included		Online		
	Total	\$222,584.00		\$228,014.00		
Delivery Date		11-13 Weeks		6-10 Weeks		
No Bids		Lubbers Marshall Motor Co, Inc.				

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of Fleet Management, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to accept the low bid from Rusty Eck Ford in the amount of \$222,584.00. Richard Powell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The three (3) trucks with the road sensors are used by area supervisors to put up signs and for general use. They also do road inspections. The road sensors are used to determine when roads need to be treated for winter weather. The other four (4) trucks are used by non-supervisor employees to transport people and materials to job sites.

These are replacement vehicles for Public Works. Surplus will be sold on Purple Wave.

Questions and Answers:

Richard Powell asked: "Are these vehicles, as described here, consistent with past purchases?"

Penny Poland (Fleet Management) answered: "Yes, they are consistent with other trucks in this fund."

Tom Golden asked: "The vehicles they are replacing, have they reached their use of life? Do you know how old those vehicles were that we're going to replace?"

Penny Poland answered: "They meet the replacement criteria. Beginning in 2009 and the newest one is 2012. They are putting about 18,200 miles on them a year."

Tom Golden asked: "In your opinion, you're the Fleet Management manager, do these vehicles necessarily need to be replaced? They cannot be extended another year? Can we not extend the life on some of these vehicles?"

Penny Poland answered: "It's possible, yes. I would have to do some research on it. At this point, they meet the replacement criteria."

Tom Golden asked: "What would we get for them in surplus for each vehicle?"

Penny Poland answered: "I'm guessing \$3,500-\$5,000. I can go back and do a condition report and we can see if we can extend the life cycle."

Tom Golden asked: "Is this a time sensitive thing, Penny?"

Penny Poland answered: "It is time sensitive. Also, just for your information, I have six (6) of them that will probably be between 170,000-200,000 miles by the time we receive the replacements."

Linda Kizzire stated: "I'm just happy we are buying from Rusty Eck Ford, here in Wichita."

3. FOUR WHEEL DRIVE UTILITY BED FIRE SQUAD TRUCKS -- SEDGWICK COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT #1 FUNDING -- FIRE ADMINISTRATION

(Request sent to 121 vendors)

RFB #17-0042 S/C #8000106708

		Rusty Eck Ford		Davis-Moore Automotive, Inc.		Marshall Motor Co, Inc.		
	Qty.	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	
Four Wheel Drive Utility Bed Fire Squad Trucks	2	\$72,237.00	\$144,474.00	\$71,565.00	\$143,130.00	\$71,230.00	\$142,460.00	
Make & Model		2017 550-XLT Crew Cab 4WD		2017 RAM 5500 Crew Cab 4X4		2017 RAM 5500 Crew Cab 4X4		
Manuals: One complete set Paper or CD-ROM	2	\$180.00 \$360.00 \$144,834.00		Included		Included		
	Total			\$143,130.00		\$142,460.00		
Delivery Date		120-130 Days		8-12 Weeks		8/1/2017		
No Bids Ha		Hatchett Hy	Hatchett Hyundai West		Regional Hyundai		Cable Dahmer Automotive Group	

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of Sedgwick County Fire District #1, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to accept the low bid from Marshall Motor Co., Inc. in the amount of \$142,460.00. Richard Powell seconded the motion. The motion passed 4 to 1 with Linda Kizzire voting No.

These vehicles are used as first response trucks for medical emergencies and grass fires.

These are replacement vehicles. Surplus will be sold on Purple Wave.

Questions and Answers:

Linda Kizzire asked: "These are your flatbeds that primarily come out for medical emergencies to start with or are these a different kind of truck?"

Brian Richey (Fire Department) answered: "These are basically replacing our squad vehicles. Basically our first responding vehicles on most medical calls, grass fires or other calls that just require two people. They have a little pump in the back that put out brush fires, etc."

Linda Kizzire asked: "That equipment was made specifically for these new vehicles?"

Brian Richey answered: "Actually, we buy new equipment to go on those and they are out for bid as we speak. We typically outfit these new trucks with all new equipment because the old equipment usually is no longer worthy to move over to the new vehicles."

Tom Golden asked: "So you don't control exchange any of the old equipment that comes off of the old vehicles to put on the new vehicles?"

Brian Richey answered: "In it's entirety, no. We do move some stuff, but the most critical stuff like the fire pump that is in the back, the motors typically get worn out once we go through about five to six grass fire seasons. Those are based off of our trends over the last several years."

Tom Golden asked: "Can I ask that when we get bids like this that we get a picture of these vehicles so that we can see them and understand what we are saying yes to, because sometimes a simple description doesn't really give us the infromation that we need to make a better decision?"

Joe Thomas answered: "Yes, sir. We'd be glad to."

Talaya Schwartz asked: "The motor that you were talking about lasts five to six grass fires and then you have to replace it. So you're replacing the motor on the same vehicle for that pump several times before you're actually replacing the vehicle?"

Brian Richey answered: "Let me clarify. When we outfit a squad, that squad has a truck engine and then in the back we have another motor that runs the fire side. That is where we see our failures. To replace those small motors cost us about \$3,000-\$4,000 for each one.

Talaya Schwartz asked: "Do you have to replace the entire vehicle to replace that pump?"

Brian Richey answered: "No."

Tom Golden asked: "Does Fleet do the maintenance for the Fire District vehicles or do you guys have a separate contract or have someone in house that can work on those vehicles?"

Brian Richey answered: "I have myself and another employee in house that does the maintenance on those vehicles. About 95-98% of all of the maintenance done for Segwick County Fire District is done by myself or my co-worker."

Linda Kizzire asked: "It's five to six fire seasons not five to six fires, right?"

Brian Richey answered: "Yes, five to six fire seasons."

Tom Golden asked: "Do you know if the vehicles that we are replacing have reached their maximum use of life?"

Brian Richey answered: "Yes, most of the trucks that we are replacing are 12-15 years old."

Tom Golden asked: "Do you keep any of these in reserve?"

Brian Richey answered: "Yes, we have two reserves. The best of the oldest."

Richard Powell asked: "In looking at the responses I've noticed that we have several different manufacturers of vehicles. When we talk about moving the existing old equipment over to a new truck, is it compatible to move it from one brand to another?"

Brian Richey answered: "All of our communications equipment moves over quite easily, it is not brand specific. However, when we talk about moving the other equipment, not all of that stuff does fit so we have to buy new equipment to make it fit to another make/model."

Tom Golden asked: "Does it make sense to standardize the equipment?"

Brian Richey answered: "It has its benefits but it's not always the lowest cost when we bid equipment."

Joe Thomas stated: "According to the Charter, we don't want to make the specifications so tight that you impede the competition. If you're stuck with a Ford, you don't get the ability to have the other manufacturers at a competitive price.

Tom Golden stated: "I would argue that it probably makes good business sense if we had a standardized fleet of vehicles. I don't believe that we'd be impinging on competition, we just have to find more Chevrolet, Ford, Dodge, etc. dealers to try to encourage them to bid on this because I think if you're able to swap equipment on and off a vehicle I would think that would be more cost effective."

Brian Richey added: "Standardization of equipment is huge for operator safety and it does have it's value."

Tom Golden asked: "Is that something that we're not able to do, Joe?"

Joe Thomas answered: "Not on this particular piece of equipment, but last year we had a piece of equipment that became a point of contention becuase the commissioners said it should not have been restricted to one manufacturer. The complaint was from the other manufacturers that having one manufacturer causes complaints saying that you have restricted this competition and you're going against your Charter. We've seen over the years that when we get away from having such restricted specifications we avoid those type of vendor protests."

Misha Jacob-Warren stated: "Going along with what Joe was saying, from the legal aspect of it, I think if there is a business need for a particular bid to maintain a standardization that can legitimately be justified as in all of the equipment that we're outfitting on this truck cannot be outfitted on this make, that's certainly understandable. Absent those type of restrictions, it would be a violation of the Charter and you would face potential protests."

Tom Golden answered: "I think it is a legitimate business ask. We are not trying to restrict anybody, but on the same token why would we have such a fleet of vehicles that we couldn't swap this equipment out very easily, especially in the case that a vehicle is down?"

Joe Thomas answered: "As Misha brought out, we've done this in the past when there is a proven business need. In that case, the onus is on the department because when those protests come up they must be ready to justify and defend. If we don't have that, that is where we have to be very careful."

Tom Golden asked: "So what are the majority of your vehicles, Captain?"

Brian Richey answered: "Most of it is Ford. This will be our first Dodge vehicles. We're saving about \$5,000-\$6,000 per vehicle."

Linda Kizzire asked: "I'd like to know where Marshall Motor Co., Inc. is located?"

Britt Rosencutter asnwered: "Salina, Kansas."

Linda Kizzire stated: "I'd like to state for the record that I will be voting No on these vehicles due to the very minimal amount of difference between Marshall Motor Co., Inc. and two of our local vendors. Davis-Moore Automotive, Inc. pays \$244,000+ per year in taxes to Sedgwick County and Rusty Eck Ford pays almost \$265,000 per year in taxes to Sedgwick County."