



SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS
DIVISION OF FINANCE
Purchasing Department
Iris Baker, Purchasing Director

525 N. Main, Suite 823 ~ Wichita, KS 67203
Phone: 316 660-7255 Fax: 316 383-7055
www.sedgwickcounty.org/purchasing

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
09-0129
CONTRACTING SERVICES FOR SAP SECURITY WORK
ADDENDUM #2

May 5, 2009

This addendum is regarding RFP 09-0129. Questions are in bold and answers are in *italics*.

1. **“It is the county’s desire to work with only one person” – Does this mean one point of contact that the county will co-ordinate with deliverables?**
One Security Architect.
2. **Can a consultant, such as programmer/tester, work remotely within the state/out of state?**
No.
3. **Is the infrastructure in place to access the system remotely?**
No.
4. **Are there any specific documents that exist today for the specific job responsibilities of every employee?**
No.
5. **What is the current database being used?**
DB2.
6. **Are there any stand-alone non-SAP systems, applications, or databases that are integrated into SAP that need to be accessed based on the security roles set up in SAP?**
No.
7. **How many SAP environments exist?**
Development, QA, Production.
8. **Are there any DR (disaster recover site) sites, which have a separate SAP instance where the changes will need to be replicated?**
No.

- 9. Does Sedgwick County have any SAP Security and Basis Administrators to help “SAP Security Architect” in his/her assignment?**
Yes.
- 10. What is Sedgwick County’s current SAP team, which includes SAP functional analysts/leaders?**
7-Functional, 4-ABAP, 3-BASIX, 1-DB.
- 11. Does Sedgwick County have other SAP applications in the landscape other than SAP ECC6.0 (SAP FI & SAP HR), SAP NW B17.0 and SAP Solution Manager?**
No.
- 12. Does Sedgwick County have any plans for other SAP Applications like SAP SRM, SAP PPS (Procurement for Public Sector) etc. within one year from now?**
No.
- 13. The RFP says it is important to submit methodology/project plan. Can a very high-level one be submitted now, but a detailed one be prepared at the client site?**
The decision is the vendor’s choice, but the County will not award without a project plan.
- 14. Will Sedgwick County confirm it will pay the travel expenses and scope such as airfare, hotel, rental car, food, etc?**
Yes.
- 15. Will you give more clarification regarding the level of details to be included in the project plan such as tasks, personnel, etc. in reference to Item 12 Proposal Content, 12.6.?**
You will need to include tasks for milestones, if progress payments are made (as opposed to a lump sum payment at the end of the project). If you are using multiple personnel, you will need to identify as well. The detail is your response; the amount is your choice.
- 16. Is the SAP Security assessment/high level review available for review prior to the RFP submission?**
Reference Page 4 Item 10.
- 17. In the event the vendor does not fully agree with all recommendations, is Sedgwick County open to a redesign of their security approach?**
The scope is set.
- 18. Will the vendor be looking at the entire landscape or only the R/3production client?**
R/3.
- 19. What level of staff training is expected at this point?**
All basic authorization concepts, how to setup/maintain SU24, diagnostic/analysis procedures, monitoring.
- 20. How many security staff will need training and what is their level of expertise?**
Three to four (3- 4), expertise level is low.

21. Is Sedgwick County using HR structured authorizations?

Yes.

22. Is Sedgwick County using CUA, Central User Administration and will the training requested include CUA?

Yes.

23. Is Sedgwick County using or planning to use VIRSA?

No.

24. Of the 1,961 roles, are any of them derived roles; is Sedgwick County using composite roles or are they all just simple roles?

Both.

25. What role design approach is used, roles based on HR positions, functionality based, or some other approach?

Both.

26. Is Sedgwick County concerned about SAP standard reports, their own custom reports, or reports in general?

Their own custom reports.

27. Does Sedgwick County have a list of documents and sensitive data they are concerned about, or is the vendor to determine what is sensitive and where it is located?

County has a list.

28. Of the 129 custom transactions and 422 custom programs, what level of review is expected and how complex are the programs.

The vendor will not review programs of the County.

29. Does Sedgwick County have program requirements available that identify the functionality of the programs and the data that is accessed; can the county provide a level of criticality that is involved for both the functionality and the data?

No.

30. Is there a written County Security policy and is it available prior to response submission?

No.

31. What level of expertise does the perspective Basis Team have with the Database Administration?

Low.

32. Will the current personnel involved in the Database Administration be transferred and be a part of the perspective Basis Team?

Not determined at this time.

33. Is the guidance limited to DBA work with regard to SAP only?

Yes.

34. Is the guidance limited to security consideration with regard to the Database Administration?

Yes.

35. What will be the benchmark of success in the consolidation process?

Reference page 2, Item 2, 3rd paragraph.

36. Does Sedgwick County have a clear understanding of what training is to be provided, is it addressed in the assessment, and can a copy be obtained?

No.

Vendors are responsible for checking the website and acknowledging any addendums on the proposal response form.

Iris Baker
Purchasing Director